

Institutional Modalities for Grid-Connected Solar Irrigation Pumps (SIPs): A Review



Vishnu Prasad Pandey, PhD

Professor – Civil Engineering (Water Resources) Institute of Engineering, Tribhuvan University, Nepal (formerly, SoLAR-SA Project Lead (Nepal Component), IWMI) E: <u>vishnu.pandey@pcampus.edu.np</u>

Bijaya Gautam

Consultant, IWMI

Aditi Mukherji

Principal Researcher, IWMI-India

Manohara Khadka

Country Representative, IWMI-Nepal

Webinar on "Appropriate Institutional Modalities for Grid-Connected SIPs in Nepal" 4TH February, 2021 • Are grid-connected SIPs good solution to increase capacity utilization of SIPs?

• How feasible the idea is given smaller size of SIPs in Nepal?

• What could be an appropriate institutional modality for governance of grid-connected SIPs in Nepal?

Contents



- Scenario of SIPs in Nepal
- Significance of Grid-connected SIPs
- Typologies of grid-connected solar
- Key attributes institutional modalities for grid-connected SIPs
- Potential institutional models for Terai (Nepal) **DISCUSSION Question**!

Scenario of SIPs in Nepal – SIP distribution is primarily in Terai

- About 1,800 SIPs installed in Nepal; > 1,380 are with AEPC's subsidy
- Tarai provinces (P 1, 2, & 5) accounted for
 - -75% of applications & 85% of the granted SIPs. The pattern was true for districts & Palikas too.
- Furthermore,
 - About 22% of SIP subsidy are granted to women applicants
 - A large number of pumps are between 1-2 HP size





Scenario of SIPs in Nepal – Cost of SIPs are high in all Provinces



 Even with 	Table. Average cost (in NRs lakhs) of SIP by province (P) and pump capacity							
60% subsidy,	Pump	P1	P2	Bagmati	Gandaki	Lumbini	Sudur-	
farmers still	capacity (hp)						paschim	
had to pay	<1	3.9						
<u>263,793</u>	1	4.5	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7	5.0	
<u>rupees (2,180</u>	2	6.7	8.4	4.9	4.9	6.9		
<u>USD) for a SIP,</u>	3		11.9					
though it	5		29.9	15.9		23.4		
varied with	>5		14.4	16.4	16.9	12.8	24.4	
pump size.	Total pumps	146	658	105	12	122	12	

- One of the Challenge is Enhancing Return on Subsidy (or Maximizing Return)
 - Maximizing use of Energy by Connecting SIPs to Grid could be one of the Strategies for Maximizing Return.

Significance of Grid-connected SIPs

- \sim 1,800 SIPs are already installed, mostly in Tarai Plains, Nepal
 - For pumping Groundwater for irrigation purpose
- This kind of water-energy connection has implications in
 - Groundwater over-extraction and associated impacts on the environment.
- Therefore, it is necessary, to incentivize **evacuating surplus energy to grids** to generate extra income, as it helps
 - mitigate the risk of groundwater over-extraction,
 - stabilize the grid system and provide quality power in nearby area, &
 - contribute to proliferating the income generation opportunity for individuals.

- Based on scale of solar PV system
 - Stand-along solar PV system For individual houses, solar home system (SHS)
 - Mini/Micro-grid system Community or village scale solar system
 - Mini grid (10 kW few MW); Micro-grid (1-10 kW); Nano/Pico (< 1 kW)</p>
 - Grid-connected/Grid-extension (on-grid) system
 - Connection of community to new areas using national power transmission system
- Based on method of connection to grid
 - Direction connection to Grid; & Connection through mini-micro grids
- Based on ownership of business model
 - Privately-owned;
 - Community-owned (community-managed & co-operative managed); &
 - Government-owned/Franchisee

Typologies of grid-connected solar system: grid-connection options?





SoLAR-SA Webinar Series | Webinar 4 | Institutional Modalities for Grid-Connected SIPs in Nepal | 4th Feb, 2021

Key attributes - institutional models for grid-connected SIPs



- Ownership Private (38%), community (25%), government (13%).
 - Which one could be more suitable in Nepal-Terai context?
- Beneficiaries types (e.g. HH, private, public, farmer) & other characteristics (e.g. land holding, cast, ethnicity, income level, etc.)
 - How to ensure different sections of societies are benefited?
- Benefit/energy sharing/access mechanisms
 - Estimation of benefits? Mechanism for ensuring equitable access of energy and distribution of benefits? Sharing of losses in distribution system? Accounting for total energy use?
- Linkage with the government stakeholders
 - Roles of government and other stakeholders? Regulatory approach?
- Business models for investment & revenue generation?
 - Arrangement of capital **investment** % of grant, loan & equity?
 - Revenue generation basis for charging (e.g. flat, progressive)?

Key attributes - institutional models for grid-connected SIPs



0

Model name	Privately-owned Community-Owned Government-Owned						
*Beneficiaries	HHs/Private /Public Sector	Large-holder (Farmers)	smallholder (Farmers)	HHs only	e		
Benefit/Energ y/ Equity sharing mechanism (BSM)	Based on extent of use (e.g., farm size, number/size of rooms, infrastructure, etc.)	Ensuring benefit to marginal users (e.g., in the form of more or all subsidy, waiver in tariff, etc.)	Incentivising women (e.g., in the form of extra subsidy, etc.)	Differentiated by types of user	Attributes will & Nepal-Terai?		
Capital Investment (CI)	Subsidy from GOV & equity from individuals	Subsidy from GOV, loan from suppliers/ manufacturers & equity from developers	Subsidy from GOV & donors & equity from developers	Privately invested	: What a		
Regulatory Approach (RA)	Fully Regulated by GOV	Jointly regulated by GOV & community	Based on provision of license	Ambiguous/uncl ear regulations	Question		
Business Model (BM)	Grant Model	Grant Loan Model	Pay As You Go (PAYGO) Model	Vulnerable supported model	10		

• Are grid-connected SIPs good solution to increase capacity utilization of SIPs?

• How feasible the idea is given smaller size of SIPs in Nepal?

• What could be an appropriate institutional modality for governance of grid-connected SIPs in Nepal?